Case4:13-cr-00143-CW Docu	ument1 Filed03/07/13 Page1 of 10
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION
Count One: 15 U.S.C. Section 1 - Bid Rigging (Alameda) Count Two: 18 U.S.C. Section 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (Alameda) Count Three: 15 U.S.C. Section 1 - Bid Rigging (Contra Costa) Count Four: 18 U.S.C. Section 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Misdemeanor Misdeme	DEFENDANT - U.S Michael Renquist Michael Renquist Michael Renquist DISTRICT COURT NUMBER CR13-00143 CW
	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
Federal Bureau of Investigation	2) ls a Fugitive
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District) IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date filed DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Albert Sambat, Dept of Justice	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
U.S. Attorney 🗷 Other U.S. Agency Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned)	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: WARRANT	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————

Attorney (if assigned)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS

PROCESS:

SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT

If Summons, complete following:
Arraignment Initial Appearance

Defendant Address:

Date/Time:

Bail Amount: None

* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment

Date/Time:

Before Judge:

PENALTY SHEET

Individual: Michael Renquist

15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (Counts 1 & 3)

Maximum Penalties:

- 1. A term of imprisonment of 10 years
- 2. A fine of \$1 million, or two times the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater
- 3. A period of supervised release of not more than 3 years
- 4. \$100 special assessment per count (\$200)
- 5. Restitution

18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (Counts 2 & 4)

Maximum Penalties:

- 1. A term of imprisonment of 30 years
- 2. A fine of \$1 million
- 3. A period of supervised release of not more than 5 years
- 4. \$100 special assessment per count (\$200)
- 5. Restitution

1	JEANE HAMILTON (CSBN 157834)					
2	ALBERT B. SAMBAT (CSBN 236472) 2 DAVID I WARD (CSBN 230504)					
	DAVID J. WARD (CSBN 239504) CHRISTINA M. WHEELER (CSBN 203395)					
3						
4	MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493) MICAH L. WYATT (CSBN 267465) LIDIA MAHER (CSBN 222253) E. KATE PATCHEN (NYRN 4104634) U.S. Department of Justice					
_	LIDIA MAHER (CSBN 222253)					
5	E. KATE PATCHEN (NYRN 4104634)					
6	U.S. Department of Justice					
	Antitrust Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 NORTHERN U.S. W. WIEKING OAKLAND OBJECT COURT OAKLAND CALIFORNIA					
7	450 Golden Gate Avenue					
8	Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102					
ا م	albert.sambat@usdoj.gov					
9	Telephone: (415) 436-6660					
10	Telephone. (175) 156 6666					
11	Attorneys for the United States					
11						
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
	OAKLAND DIVISION					
\bigwedge^{14}	OAKLAND DIVISION CR13-0014	1				
\checkmark_{15}) Criminal No.					
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) INFORMATION	l				
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) INFORMATION					
17) VIOLATIONS: 15 U.S.C. § 1 –					
18	v.) Bid Rigging (Counts One & Three);					
) 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to					
19) Commit Mail Fraud (Counts Two &					
20	MICHAEL RENQUIST,) Four)					
~~)					
21	Defendant.					
22)					
		İ				
23	The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:					
24	MICHAEL RENQUIST,					
25	the defendant herein, as follows:					
26	BACKGROUND					
27	1. At all times relevant to this Information, when California homeowners defaulted					
28	on their mortgages, mortgage holders could institute foreclosure proceedings and sell the					
	INFORMATION – MICHAEL RENQUIST – 1					

properties through non-judicial public real estate foreclosure auctions ("public auctions"). These public auctions were governed by California Civil Code, Section 2924, *et seq.* Typically, a trustee was appointed to oversee the public auctions. These public auctions usually took place at or near the courthouse of the county in which the properties were located. The auctioneer, acting on behalf of the trustee, sold the property to the bidder offering the highest purchase price. Proceeds from the sale were then used to pay the mortgage holders, other holders of debt secured by the property, and, in some cases, the defaulting homeowner (collectively, "beneficiaries"). COUNT ONE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (Alameda County)

THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

- 2. Beginning as early as November 2008 and continuing until in or about January 2011, the defendant, MICHAEL RENQUIST, and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain selected properties offered at public auctions in Alameda County in the Northern District of California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.
- 3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators to suppress competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected properties at public auctions in Alameda County at non-competitive prices.
- 4. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:
- a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions in Alameda County;
- b. designating which conspirator would win the selected properties at the public auctions for the group of conspirators; and
- c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the public auctions.

INFORMATION - MICHAEL RENQUIST - 2

5. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

8.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

6. During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Information were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, beneficiaries located in states other than California received proceeds from the public auctions that were subject to the bid-rigging conspiracy.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

COUNT TWO: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (Alameda County)

THE CONSPIRACY

2011 in Alameda County in the Northern District of California, the defendant, MICHAEL RENQUIST, and co-conspirators did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, and agree with each other to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, namely, to knowingly devise and intend to devise and participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud beneficiaries, and to

Beginning as early as November 2008 and continuing until in or about January

obtain money and property from beneficiaries by means of materially false and fraudulent

pretenses, representations, and promises.

- 9. The objects of the conspiracy were to fraudulently acquire title to selected properties sold at public auctions in Alameda County, to make and receive payoffs, and to divert money to conspirators that would have gone to the beneficiaries.
 - 10. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated

INFORMATION - MICHAEL RENQUIST - 3

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	

as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

MEANS AND METHODS

- 11. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they conspired to do, including, among other things:
 - a. purchasing selected properties at public auctions at suppressed prices;
- b. in some instances, negotiating payoffs with one or more conspirators not to compete;
- c. in many other instances, holding second, private auctions, at or near the courthouse steps where the public auctions were held, frequently referred to as "rounds," open only to members of the conspiracy, to bid for title to the selected properties;
- d. awarding the selected properties to the conspirators who submitted the highest bids at the second, private auctions;
- e. transferring the right to title to the selected properties into the names of the conspirators who submitted the highest bids at the second, private auctions;
- f. paying conspirators monies that otherwise would have gone to beneficiaries, using either a predetermined formula based on the bidding at the second, private auction or through direct negotiations among the conspirators;
- g. taking steps to conceal the fact that monies were diverted from the beneficiaries to the conspirators;
- h. making and causing to be made materially false and misleading statements on records of public auctions that trustees relied upon to distribute proceeds from the public auction to the beneficiaries and convey title to properties sold at the public auction; and
- i. causing the suppressed purchase price to be reported and paid to the beneficiaries.
- 12. For the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to do so, the defendant and co-conspirators knowingly used and caused to be used the United States INFORMATION MICHAEL RENQUIST 4

1	l
2	l
3	l
4	l
5	١
6	
7	١
8	l
9	l
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Postal Service and private or commercial interstate carriers. For example, trustees used the United States mail and private or commercial interstate carriers to transmit the Trustee's Deeds Upon Sale and other title documents to participants in the conspiracy. These mailings were foreseeable to the defendant in the ordinary course of business.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. The combination, conspiracy, and agreement to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1349.

COUNT THREE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (Contra Costa County)

THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

- 14. Beginning as early as November 2008 and continuing until in or about January 2011, the defendant, MICHAEL RENQUIST, and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain selected properties offered at public auctions in Contra Costa County in the Northern District of California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.
- 15. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators to suppress competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected properties at public auctions in Contra Costa County at non-competitive prices.
- 16. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:
- a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions in Contra Costa County;
- b. designating which conspirator would win the selected properties at the public auctions for the group of conspirators; and

INFORMATION – MICHAEL RENQUIST – 5

- c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the public auctions.
- 17. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Information were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, beneficiaries located in states other than California received proceeds from the public auctions that were subject to the bid-rigging conspiracy.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

COUNT FOUR: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (Contra Costa County)

THE CONSPIRACY

- 20. Beginning as early as November 2008 and continuing until in or about January 2011 in Contra Costa County in the Northern District of California, the defendant, MICHAEL RENQUIST, and co-conspirators did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, and agree with each other to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, namely, to knowingly devise and intend to devise and participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud beneficiaries, and to obtain money and property from beneficiaries by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.
- 21. The objects of the conspiracy were to fraudulently acquire title to selected properties sold at public auctions in Contra Costa County, to make and receive payoffs, and to divert money to conspirators that would have gone to the beneficiaries.

INFORMATION – MICHAEL RENQUIST – 6

22. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

MEANS AND METHODS

- 23. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they conspired to do, including, among other things:
 - a. purchasing selected properties at public auctions at suppressed prices;
- b. in some instances, negotiating payoffs with one or more conspirators not to compete;
- c. in many other instances, holding second, private auctions, at or near the courthouse steps where the public auctions were held, frequently referred to as "rounds," open only to members of the conspiracy, to bid for title to the selected properties;
- d. awarding the selected properties to the conspirators who submitted the highest bids at the second, private auctions;
- e. transferring the right to title to the selected properties into the names of the conspirators who submitted the highest bids at the second, private auctions;
- f. paying conspirators monies that otherwise would have gone to beneficiaries, using either a predetermined formula based on the bidding at the second, private auction or through direct negotiations among the conspirators;
- g. taking steps to conceal the fact that monies were diverted from the beneficiaries to the conspirators;
- h. making and causing to be made materially false and misleading statements on records of public auctions that trustees relied upon to distribute proceeds from the public auction to the beneficiaries and convey title to properties sold at the public auction; and
- i. causing the suppressed purchase price to be reported and paid to the beneficiaries.

INFORMATION – MICHAEL RENQUIST – 7

1	24. For the purpose of executing the s	scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to
2	do so, the defendant and co-conspirators knowin	gly used and caused to be used the United States
3	Postal Service and private or commercial intersta	ate carriers. For example, trustees used the
4	United States mail and private or commercial int	terstate carriers to transmit the Trustee's Deeds
5	Upon Sale and other title documents to participa	nts in the conspiracy. These mailings were
6	foreseeable to the defendant in the ordinary cour	rse of business.
7	JURISDICTION	N AND VENUE
8	25. The combination, conspiracy, ar	nd agreement to violate Title 18, United States
9	Code, Section 1341 charged in this Information	was carried out, in part, in the Northern District
10	of California, within the five years preceding the	e filing of this Information.
11	ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UN	NITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1349.
12		
13		\bigcap I
14	Willa- / Das	1 Dior
	William J. Baer	Phillip H. Warren
15	Assistant Attorney General	Chief, San Francisco Office
16	80-	
17	Scott D. Hammond	Peter K. Huston
18	Deputy Assistant Attorney General	Assistant Chief, San Francisco Office
19	Mary free	
19	Marvin N. Price	Albert B. Sambat
20	Director of Criminal Enforcement	Jeane Hamilton
21	United States Department of Justice	David J. Ward
	Antitrust Division	Christina M. Wheeler
22	Jone las Whon for	Manish Kumar
23	Brian J. Stretch	Micah L. Wyatt Lidia Maher
	Attorney for the United States	E. Kate Patchen
24	Acting Under Authority Conferred	Trial Attorneys
25	by 28 U.S.C. § 515	U.S. Department of Justice
26		Antitrust Division
27		
ŀ	11	

INFORMATION – MICHAEL RENQUIST – 8

28

Case4:13-cr-00143-CW Document1-1 Filed03/07/13 Page1 of 1

United States District Court Northern District of California



CRIMINAL COVER SHEET

<u>Instructions</u>: Effective January 3, 2012, this Criminal Cover Sheet must be completed and submitted, along with the Defendant Information Form, for each new criminal case. Please place this form on top of the Defendant Information Form.

Case Name: USA v. Michael Renquist		CR13-0	CR13-00143	
Total Number of Defendants: 1 2-7	8 or more	Is This Case Und	1	
Does this case involve ONLY charges under Yes No	r 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and/or 13	326? Venue (Per Crim SF OAK		
Is this a death-penalty-eligible RICO Act garages	Assigned AUSA (Assigned AUSA (Lead Attorney): Albert Sambat		
Comments:		Date Submitted: March 7_, 2013		